

**COURT-II**  
**IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY**  
**(Appellate Jurisdiction)**

**APPEAL NO. 377 OF 2017 & IA NO. 346 OF 2019**

**Dated: 2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2019**

**Present: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.K. Patil, Judicial Member**  
**Hon'ble Mr. Ravindra Kumar Verma, Technical Member**

**In the matter of:**

**Punjab State Power Corporation Limited** .... **Appellant(s)**

**Vs.**

**Punjab State Electricity Regulatory Commission** .... **Respondent(s)**

Counsel for the Appellant (s) : Mr. Anand K. Ganesan  
Ms. Neha Garg

Counsel for the Respondent(s) : Mr. Sakesh Kumar  
Ms. Gitanjali N. Sharma for R-1

**ORDER**

**(IA No.346 of 2019 2019 - Delay in filing reply)**

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for both the parties.

The Learned counsel appearing for the first Respondent submitted that, there is a delay of 12 days in filing the reply which has been explained satisfactorily in the application. The same may kindly be accepted and delay may kindly be condoned.

Submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the first Respondent, as stated above, is placed on record.

In the light of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the first Respondent and after perusal of the application explaining the delay in filing the reply, we find it satisfactory as sufficient cause has been made out. The same is accepted and the delay in filing the reply is condoned. IA, being IA No. 346 of 2019, for delay in filing the reply is allowed.

List the matter for hearing on **03.05.2019**. In the meantime the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant is permitted to file rejoinder, if any.

**(Ravindra Kumar Verma)**  
**Technical Member**

mk/ss

**(Justice N.K. Patil)**  
**Judicial Member**